IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Shmuel Eidelman : Confirmation Number: 9381

Application No. 10/796,279 : Group Art Unit: 3643

Filed: March 10, 2004 : Examiner: Collins, Timothy D.

For: PULSED DETONATION : Atty Docket: 000479.00126

ENGINES FOR REACTION :

ELECTION AND RESPONSE

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

CONTROL SYSTEMS

RECEIVED

SEP 06 2005

Sir: LICENSING & REVIEW

This paper is responsive to the Office Action mailed July 29, 2005, for which a one-month period for response has been set, i.e., up to and including August 29, 2005. It is believed that no fee is due for this submission. However, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any fee required to our Deposit Account No. 19-0733.

Restriction Requirement

In response to the Restriction Requirement, Applicant hereby elects with traverse Group I, claims 1-13 and 39-41, for prosecution on the merits.

The requirement for restriction is respectfully traversed. The Office Action alleges that the inventions of Groups I and II are independent and distinct because the process of Group II "can be practiced with a reaction control motor that is not a PDE, for example with an ion propulsion unit." The Office Action's position is not well-founded because independent claim 14 specifies that thrust is generated "by controllably igniting detonation of at least one propellant in a pulsed detonation engine [PDE]" (emphasis added). The remaining Group II claims likewise specify a pulsed detonation engine by virtue of their dependence (directly or indirectly) on claim 14. Applicant respectfully submits that the Office Action has not demonstrated that the claims of Groups I and II are independent and distinct as required by M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h).

In addition, the Office Action has not established that search and examination of both Group I and Group II claims would present an undue burden. In the absence of an undue burden, all claims must be searched and examined. M.P.E.P. § 803.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction requirement and treatment of all claims on the merits in the next Office Action are respectfully requested.

Election of Species

Ì

ţ,

In response to the various election of species requirements, Applicant hereby elects the following species: (i) spacecraft control, (ii) a piezo controller, and (iii) a spark plug igniter.

The Office Action indicates that additional elections of species are required if Group II claims are elected. Because Applicant is requesting withdrawal of the restriction requirement, Applicant hereby provisionally elects the species of (iv) liquid oxidizer and (v) fullerines carbon structure for the Group II claims.

Claims 1-10 and 12-41 are readable on each of the elected species.

The Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number listed below if doing so would be helpful to resolve any outstanding issues.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Date: August 29, 2005

Ŷ

ſ,

Customer No. 22907

1001 G Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 824-3000 (telephone) (202) 824-3001 (facsimile) Paul M. Rivard

Registration No. 43,446

PTO/SB/21 (02-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Application Number	10/796,279
			Filing Date	03/10/2004
			First Named Inventor	Shmuel Eidelman
			Art Unit	3643
			Examiner Name	Collins, Timothy D.
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		4	Attorney Docket Number	000479.00126
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Group
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
Amendment / Reply		Petition		Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		☐ Status Letter
Extension of Time Request		☐ Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund		Election and Response
☐ Information Disclosure Statement		CD, N	umber of CD(s)	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks		
Response to Missing Parts, along with copy of Notice mailed 6/8/04		° 0 6 2005		
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		ing & REVIEW		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Firm				
or Individual name Paul M. Rivard, Reg. No. 43,446				
Signature Paln Cul				
Date August 29, 2005				
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.				
Typed or printed name				
Signature				Date

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.